THE CITY OF PROVIDENCE

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS

4

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL

No. 161

Approved March 24, 1966

RESOLVED,

That the accompanying Workable Program for Community Improvement for Elimination and Prevention of Slums and Blight in Providence, Rhode Island, for 1966-1967 as submitted by the Office of the Mayor be approved.

IN CITY COUNCIL

MAR 22 1966

READ and PASSED as amended

ACTING President

Clerk

APPROVED

MAR 24 1966

MAYOR

RESOLUTION
OF THE
CITY COUNCIL

IN CITY COUNCIL

MAR 3 - 1966

FIRST READING
REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON URBAN REDEVELOPMENT RENEWAL & PLANNING

Vincent Gorski, CLERK

THE COMMITTEE ON
URBAN REDEVELOPMENT
RENEWAL & PLANNING
Approves Passage of
The Within Resolution

3-14-66 Chairman

Councilman McOsker, by request

WORKABLE PROGRAM FOR COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT

Providence, Rhode Island

city and state

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

submitted to the

Housing and Home Finance Agency on

MAR. 29 1966

date

signature of the chief executive

Joseph A. Doorley, Jr., Mayor

name and title

HHFA Form H-1082 (8/62) Form approved by the Bureau of the Budget No. 63-R984.2

This form is designed to elicit from the community the information needed to determine whether it has a Workable Program meeting the requirements of Section 101(c) of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended. The HHFA booklet "Workable Program for Community Improvement", and related written material, should be used in preparing the form. It gives the specific requirements that must be met to qualify for Program recertification as well as policy guidance. All questions on the form must be answered or adequate explanations given. Additional pages should be attached where needed to permit a full presentation of the local Program. Submit an original and three copies to the HHFA Regional Office.

CITY OR TOWN	COUNTY	STATE
Providence	Providence	Rhode Island

FORM OF GOVERNMENT

Charter - authorized strong Mayor and City Council elected by wards.

PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF EMPLOYMENT

Manufacturing 43.86%; Wholesale and Retail Trade 22.63%; Finance, Insurance and Real Estate 11.14%; Service Industries 10.11%; Transportation and Utilities 8.80%; and Construction 3.32%[1]

POPULATION, 1950 CENSUS	POPULATION, 1960 CENSUS	POPULATION, CURRENT ESTIMATE
248,674	207,498	187,061[2]

Housing-1960 Census		
Total No. of Housing Units		73,027[3]
Total No. Substandard and Deficient Units		19,643
—Dilapidated Units	2,253	
—Deteriorating Units	10,454	
—Sound Units lacking some or all plumbing facilities	6,936	

THIS PROGRAM SUBMISSION WAS APPROVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE COMMUNITY ON:

DATE OF ACTION: MAR 22 1966

By such approval, the governing body confirms its obligation to use its authority and local public and private resources in an effective plan of action to eliminate and prevent slums and blight and to submit an annual Report of Progress to the Administrator of the Housing and Home Finance Agency.

Name and title of the official(s) responsible for preparing this submission and for coordinating the program.

Joseph A. Doorley, Jr., Mayor

The following programs of the Housing and Home Finance Agency are being utilized in the community:*

List attached (Page 1a)

The community plans to utilize the following HHFA programs:*

List attached (Page 1b)

*See list on following page.

The following programs of the Department of Housing and Urban Development are being utilized in the community:

Slum Clearance and Urban Renewal Loans and Grants: Title I, Housing Act of 1949, for 3 projects in execution and 2 projects in planning.

Demonstration Grants: Sec. 314, Housing Act of 1954, for reprinting of final report.

Urban Planning Grants: Sec. 701, Housing Act of 1954, for a zoning study.

Urban Planning Grants: Sec. 701, Housing Act of 1954, for a statewide comprehensive transportation and land use planning program.

Mass Transportation Demonstration Program: Title II, Housing Act of 1955, for a study of the necessity and means of providing public transit.

Loans for College Housing: Title IV, Housing Act of 1950, for school dormitories.

Low-rent Public Housing Loans: United States Housing Act of 1937, for public housing, including housing for the elderly.

Mortgage Insurance for Homes: Sec. 203, National Housing Act.

Mortgage Insurance for Housing in Urban Renewal Areas: Sec. 220, National Housing Act.

Mortgage Insurance for Housing for Low and Moderate Income Families: Sec. 221, National Housing Act.

Secondary Market for Housing Loans: Title III, National Housing Act.

[redacted]

(2)

Public and private agencies in the community plan to utilize the following additional programs of the Department of Housing and Urban Development:

Slum Clearance and Urban Renewal Loans and Grants: Title I, Housing Act of 1949, for a General Neighborhood Renewal Plan.

Slum Clearance and Urban Renewal Loans and Grants: Title I, Housing Act of 1949, for 2 projects.

Urban Planning Grants: Sec. 701, Housing Act of 1964, for a data bank feasibility study, photogrammetrics and mapping survey and development of Master Plans for schools, recreation, circulation and neighborhoods.

Demolition Grants: Sec. 116, Housing Act of 1949, for demolition of abandoned structures.

Code Enforcement Grants: Sec. 117, Housing Act of 1949, for 2 projects.

Urban Beautification Grants: Sec. 706, Housing Act of 1961, for various city programs.

Basic Water and Sewer Facilities: Sec. 702, Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965, for construction of storm and sanitary sewers.

Neighborhood Facilities Grants: Sec. 703, Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965, for various publicly and privately sponsored facilities.

Mortgage Insurance for Rental Housing: Sec. 207, National Housing Act.

Mortgage Insurance for Homes for Servicemen: Sec. 222, National Housing Act.

Mortgage Insurance for Housing for the Elderly: Sec. 231, National Housing Act.

Mortgage Insurance for Nursing Homes: Sec. 232, National Housing Act.

[1]Covered by the R. I. Employment Security Act. The Act covers employers of one or more persons at any time during the year. It excludes government, non-profit and charitable organizations. Statewide employment covered by the Act totalled 249,539 in June, 1965. Total employment is in excess of 300,000, a large proportion of the difference being employed in Providence.

[2]From 1965 statewide census performed under the sponsorship of the Committee to Draft An Act to Reapportion the General Assembly.

[3]Estimate of housing unit quality as of Dec. 31, 1965

Total no. of housing units	68,600
Total no. of substandard or deficient units	14,850

A more definitive estimate of current substandard dwelling units cannot be provided because one hundred per cent of the efforts of the Division of Minimum Housing are

[redacted]

(3)

expended in actual code enforcement operations and no funds or personnel have been available to prepare and to have on hand this type of information. The 1,925 reduction from last years estimate of 16,775 substandard dwelling units is based on some 475 dwelling units taken for redevelopment purposes or demolished by private citizens and some 1,450 dwelling units brought up to standard by Housing Code compliance operations.

HOUSING AND HOME FINANCE AGENCY PROGRAMS
(PROGRAMS FOR WHICH A WORKABLE PROGRAM IS A PREREQUISITE ARE INDICATED BY •)

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

Mass transportation facility loans to public agencies. Demonstration grant program for mass transportation.

FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION

In addition to its regular programs for the insurance of home mortgages, rental projects and home improvement loans, there are special programs which include:

- Section 220 for housing construction and rehabilitation in urban renewal project areas:

Section 221 for displaced families and for others of low and moderate income;

- Section 221 (d) (3) for below-market or low-interest rate loans for rental projects to non-profit, limited dividend and co-operative groups and to certain public bodies or agencies:

Rehabilitation loans of up to $10,000 and up to 20 years; and

Housing for the elderly and for nursing homes.

PUBLIC HOUSING ADMINISTRATION

- Loans to local housing authorities to help finance construction of public housing and annual contributions to permit operation of the units at low rents with special provisions for housing for the elderly.

COMMUNITY FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

Public Works Planning Advances to public agencies to plan needed public works.

Public Facility Loans to finance the construction of needed public works or facilities for communities under 50,000 population or up to 150,000 for Area Redevelopment communities.

College Housing loans to construct student and faculty housing and related facilities.

Housing for the elderly loans to non-profit corporations, consumer cooperatives and certain public bodies or agencies.

URBAN RENEWAL ADMINISTRATION

- Urban Renewal Project loans and grants for planning, clearing or rehabilitating slum or blighted areas.
- General Neighborhood Renewal Planning advances for areas of such size that renewal may be spread over a period of up to 10 years.
- Community Renewal Program grants for developing a renewal program for an entire community.

Urban Planning Grants to assist in developing comprehensive plans, including mass transportation planning, for communities under 50,000 population, for communities designated under the Area Redevelopment Act as redevelopment areas, for metropolitan areas, regions and states.

Open Space Land grants to assist local bodies in acquiring land for permanent open spaces.

Demonstration Grants for the development and testing of new and improved renewal techniques or methods.

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION

In addition to its Secondary Market Operations FNMA, under its Special Assistance programs, is authorized to support FHA Sections 220 and 221 by purchasing or making advance commitments to purchase insured mortgages.

VOLUNTARY HOME MORTGAGE CREDIT PROGRAM

Assists in placing with private lenders FHA-insured and VA-guaranteed home loans on properties in small towns and for members of minority groups in any area.

DECLARATION OF POLICY FOR THE WORKABLE PROGRAM FOR COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT

In reviewing progress under its Program for Community Improvement, a locality is taking stock—evaluating its accomplishments and deciding on new goals for the coming years. This is an important process—publicize it as a means of building community understanding and support for community improvement objectives. Prepare a Declaration of Policy statement to be issued by the chief executive officer and governing body to the people of the community. The statement should identify and summarize (1) significant achievements during the past year; (2) changes or revisions in the community's overall objectives, and (3) major goals which are set for attainment during the coming year.

DECLARATION OF POLICY

The primary goals of Providence's urban renewal program are (1) economic development and expansion and, (2) improvements in the social and physical environment for living, working, and leisure time activities.

Major emphasis must be placed on renewal of housing. A policy designed to provide more decent housing for our low-income families must receive priority over projects which displace large numbers of families. An important part of this program must include rehabilitation of housing and conservation of present sound housing through strict enforcement of the City's minimum housing code.

An attractive and functional physical environment protected by housing code enforcement must be provided to all income and social groups, thus supplying increased incentive to the investment of money, ability, and energy in a cumulative enrichment of urban living in a modern metropolitan setting.

To provide job opportunities for all of our citizens, programs must be undertaken which will directly improve the economic base of the City by:

(1) best utilizing vacant industrial structures,

(2) providing adequate plant sites, and

(3) developing the Port of Providence.

"We promise that if a present policy has been sound, it will be continued. We

(Continued on Page 3a)

(Insert additional sheet or sheets for policy statement if necessary)

6

will not alter existing programs for alteration's sake; but we will not hesitate to alter them for the City's sake. We will not be bound by any policy which fails to give priority to people and their problems. Nor will we be bound by any policy that does not look with understanding to the need of individuals to achieve their fullest potential. We seek a renewal not just of structures and buildings but of the hope and strength of our people."*

* Quoted from the Inaugural Address of Joseph A. Doorley, Jr., Mayor of Providence, delivered January 4, 1965.

CODES AND ORDINANCES

OBJECTIVE: The adoption of, and compliance with, adequate standards of health, sanitation, and safety under a comprehensive system of codes and ordinances which set the minimum conditions under which dwellings may lawfully be occupied.

A. Complete column 1 for codes already in effect at the time of the community's last submission; column 2 for codes put into effect since that time; column 3 for codes not now in effect. When model codes are or will be used, also complete column 4. In addition to the basic codes listed, show any other codes the community has or needs.

KIND OF CODE	1 CODES PREVIOUSLY IN EFFECT	2 CODES MADE EFFECTIVE SINCE LAST SUBMISSION	3 CODES NOT NOW IN EFFECT	4 MODEL CODES THAT ARE OR WILL BE ADOPTED	
	DATE ADOPTED	DATE ADOPTED	TARGET DATE FOR ADOPTION	TITLE OF MODEL CODE	YEAR PUBLISHED
BUILDING	April 1,1956	-	-	B. O. C. A.	1950[1]
PLUMBING	" " "	-	-		-
ELECTRICAL	" " "	-	-	National Electric Code	1956
HOUSING	" " "	-	-		-
FIRE PREVENTION	May 2, 1947				-

B. Has the community, as shown above, met the goals for the adoption of codes set forth in its last submission? Yes ☒ No ☐ If "No" is checked, indicate fully what progress was made and why goals were not met, including statement of any problems encountered in the adoption of any of the above codes.

The existing codes continue to constitute an excellent base for further improvements by amendment whenever new needs arise for them, and because the process of review is a continuous one, the City is assured that if new needs do arise they will be quickly recognized and necessary changes implemented.

C. If not shown in previous submissions, name the group(s) or committee(s) designated to assure a continuing review of codes; indicate what local officials and community groups are represented and how they will function. Describe the past year's work of the groups or committees established for continuing codes review.

Late in 1965, the Subcommittee on Code Review of the CAC was created. Its membership is as follows: Robert C. Laurelli, President of National Plumbing and Supply Co., Chairman; Vincent DiMais, Director, Department of Building Inspection; Dr. Joseph Smith, Superintendent of Health; Chief Lewis A. Marshall, Fire Chief; Bryan Bailey, Principal Planner, City Plan Commission; Austin Daley, Director, Minimum Housing Standards Division; Edward F. Malloy, Assistant City Solicitor; Richard LaFlamme, City Data Processing Manager; Dr. James Adams, Educator; Henry J. Annotti. Because this sub-committee was not formed until late in the year, only several meetings have been held, and these have been generally organizational in character.

(Continued on P. 4a)

[1]Adopted with modifications which make this city's code more stringent than the B. O. C. A. Model Code

8

C. The Chairman of each CAC subcommittee is a member of the CAC Executive Committee. Each report and recommendation of a subcommittee is presented to the Executive Committee by the Chairman and is acted upon by the Executive Committee which reports directly to the Mayor.

As reported in last year's Workable Program,in order to keep the Building Code current with respect to the development of nationwide progress, the Department of Building Inspection had referred to the Building Code Changes Committee for review the 1959 and 1962 editions of the National Electric Code. The committee has fully completed a review of both editions and both are before the Revision Board for recommendation to the City Council for adoption. It is anticipated that the City Council will adopt the changes early in 1966.

The Director of the Department of Building Inspection, a member of the Executive Committee of the Building Officials Conference of America, has participated as a member of the Code Changes Committee of B. O. C. A., which reviews and passes upon proposals for amendments to the Basic Building Code.

Review has been completed on the following items: "Installation of Fuel Tanks Outside of Buildings"; "Metal Duct and Vent Construction"; and "Required Fresh Air Supply For All Types of Occupancies". All of the above are before the Revision Board for recommendation to the City Council for adoption.

Listed below are code topics which were reported in the last Workable Program as under study by the Plumbing Sub-Committee of the Building Code Revision Board. Review on the following topics has been completed and recommendations have been sent to the Revision Board.

1. Standard for non-flammable medical gas systems for oxygen and nitrous oxide.
2. Water heaters and safety valves
3. Domestic hot water systems
4. Swimming pools
5. Underground water supply
6. Vitrified clay pipe joints
7. Oil separators
8. Garbage grinders
9. Vertical connection of a horizontal branch line
10. Plumbing requirements in embalming autopsy rooms

All of these are before the Revision Board for recommendation to the City Council for adoption.

9

C. The Structural Division Sub-Committee has completed its review of the following:

American Concrete Institute, 1962 Revision

American Institute of Steel Construction, 1961 Revision

Basic Code through 1964 Revisions

All of these are before the Revision Board for recommendation to the City Council for adoption.

During 1965, the staff and the subcommittee of the Structural Section of the Department of Building Inspection completed a review of the following:

1. High Hazard Used
2. Restrictions of Fire District No. 2
3. Volatile Flammables
4. Paint Spraying & Storage
5. Private Garages
6. Other Places of Assembly
7. Swimming Pools
8. Ventilation of Shafts
9. Length of Travel
10. Corridors and Aisles
11. Grade Passageways & Lobbies
12. Doorways in the Path of Egress
13. Interior Stairways
14. Access to Roof
15. Fire Escapes
16. Exit Signs & Signs
17. Fiber Boards
18. Plywood
19. Lateral Bracing of Bearing Walls

C. 20. Frame Construction

21. Fire Access Panels

22. Foundation Walls

23. Fire Prevention & Firestopping

24. Fire Resistive Partitions

25. Shafts and Enclosures

26. Floors and Roofs

27. Beams and Girders

28. Exterior Opening Protectives

29. Fire Doors

30. Wired Glass

31. Roof Structures

32. Boiler Rooms

33. Stand Pipe Fire Lines

34. Window Cleaning

35. Plastic Construction

All of the above are before the Building Code Revision Board for Recommendation to the City Council for adoption.

Review of Tables 5 and 6 of the Building Code which pertain to general height and area limitations and fire resistance ratings of structural elements in hours are being reviewed at present.

Constant review of all our Building Codes is facilitated by the Building Code Revision Board which is comprised of engineers, architects, contractors, realtors, plumbers, insurance agents, and representatives of the public. Sub-committees of the Revision Board exist for each main portion of the Building Code--Plumbing, Electrical, Structural. On each of these sub-committees, members of the Revision Board who are knowledgeable in the spheres of interest of these sub-committees, sit as active members.

11

D. Schedule for the periodic review and up-dating of codes:

KIND OF CODE	CODE REVIEWED SINCE LAST SUBMISSION		SCHEDULED DATE NEXT REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED
	YES	NO	
BUILDING	X		1966
PLUMBING	X		Unscheduled
ELECTRICAL	X		Unscheduled
HOUSING	X		1966
FIRE PREVENTION		X	1966*

E. Has the community met the goals for code review set forth in its last submission? Yes ☒ No ☐
If "No" is checked, indicate fully what progress was made and why such goals were not met.
There has been review of proposed changes based on the B.O.C.A. Basic Code's latest recommendations. All new national code changes and recommendations are reviewed by the Building Code Revision Committee to determine their applicability for this City. The present codes are under constant review by the Revision Committee. In 1964, the Electrical Sub-Committee completed a review of the electrical portion of the Building Code and has referred it with recommendations to the Revision Committee for study and
(Continued on P. 5a)

F. Complete the following for each code already adopted or to be adopted during the next 12 months:

KIND OF CODE	DEPARTMENT OR OFFICIAL CURRENTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT	NUMBER OF INSPECTORS THIS YEAR	NUMBER OF INSPECTORS PROPOSED FOR NEXT YEAR
BUILDING	Director, Dept. of Building Inspection	10	10
PLUMBING	" " " " "	8	8
ELECTRICAL	" " " " "	8	8
HOUSING	Office of the Mayor	11	11**
FIRE PREVENTION	Battalion Chief James T. Killilea	13	13
Mechanical	Director, Dept. of Building Inspection	8	8

NOTE: If part-time inspectors are used or if any inspectors enforce more than one code, the above numbers shall be broken down to show the fractional part of the time devoted to each code.

G. Code administration. (Answer either a. or b. plus c.)

a. If not show in previous submissions, describe plans for a comprehensive program for code compliance, including time schedule for putting such plans into effect.

Housing Code compliance is required for a total 68,600 dwelling units in the City of which 52,833, or approximately 77 percent, have been inspected since April, 1957.
(Continued on Page 5a)

21

E. approval. During 1965 the Structural, Plumbing and Mechanical Subcommittees completed reviews of the structural, plumbing and mechanical portions of the code and have referred them with recommendations to the Revision Board for study and approval.

G.a At the end of 1964, it was estimated that it would take three and one half years to complete the initial inspection of all residential structures. Because of favorable conditions, the pace was greatly increased, and it was anticipated that the first "sweep" would be completed in eighteen months. However, during 1965, the Mayor directed the Minimum Housing Standards Division to inspect again the very critical upper South Providence area. With the extra work involved in these detailed double inspections, it is estimated that the original estimate of three and one half years for completion will have to stand.

* There is no municipal fire prevention code; however, the building code contains a number of valuable regulations pertaining to the manufacture, use, storage, handling, and sale of flammable liquids, gases, and explosive materials. The National Electrical Code is adopted.

Control over hazardous materials, processes, and occupancies is shared by the fire prevention bureau and the department of buildings. Personnel presently working out of the fire prevention bureau include a battalion chief in charge, a captain, 2 lieutenants, 6 field inspectors, one inspector assigned to public relations and schools, a photographer, and 2 clerks. Licenses are issued for convalescent, nursing, and old age homes, hospitals, and fireworks displays. Permits are issued for storage, handling, or use of flammable liquids, gases, or dangerous chemicals, and for blasting. Most of these licenses or permits are issued by other agencies but must have approval of the fire prevention bureau. The city is divided into 6 inspection districts with one inspector assigned to each. The district inspector handles complaints and fire investigations, as well as routine fire prevention inspections within his area. Minor violations are handled by the bureau directly, while those of a serious nature are turned over to the department of building inspection for compliance. During the school year educational facilities are inspected monthly. Theatres are inspected weekly. Bulk oil plants are inspected 3 to 4 times a year. Commercial and industrial occupancies are inspected 2-3 times a year.

The Mayor early in 1966 directed the Code Review Subcommittee to develop a Fire Prevention Code. Work on this project will be undertaken during 1966.

** An application is on file for two Code Enforcement Projects. If the application is approved, it will allow for six additional housing inspectors and three additional building inspectors.

b. Describe any changes since the last submission in the plans for a comprehensive program for code compliance.

As outlined in subsection "a" above, a new inspection was undertaken at the direction of the Mayor in Census Tract Nos. 4, 6 and 7, all located in the upper South Providence area.

There is presently on file an application for two Code Enforcement Projects (See Below: Page 15).

c. Indicate plans for improving the comprehensive program for code compliance, including the time schedule for putting such plans into effect.

The Minimum Housing Standards Division has undertaken a new program providing for a complete A.P.H.A. inspection, in addition to the divisional form inspecion, of every structure in the Upper South Providence area.

It is anticipated that during 1966, the division will receive a federal grant under an Urban Renewal Housing Code Enforcement Program which should put at least six additional housing inspectors in the field as well as providing three new building inspectors and three additional clerical personnel.

It is estimated that three and one half years will be required to finish the
(Continued on P. 6a)

H. *(NOTE:* (1) If any data provided below are for less than 12 months, give the beginning and ending dates of the period actually covered.
(2) If this same information is available in a different form of reporting by the community, it may be so reported in lieu of H.)

For each of the following codes already in effect complete the table to show inspection activity during the past 12 months.

ITEM	BUILDING CODE *	PLUMBING CODE	ELECTRICAL CODE	HOUSING CODE	OTHER
NUMBER OF PERMITS ISSUED UNDER CODE	2,363	2,565	3,361	////////	71
NUMBER OF INSPECTIONS MADE[1]	14,328	7,997	14,234	34,673**	3602
NUMBER OF VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED	953	375	2,978	9,389	***
NUMBER OF VIOLATION NOTICES SATISFIED	881	290	2,978	6,660	923
NUMBER OF STOP ORDERS ISSUED	28	35	73	0	0
NUMBER OF CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION ISSUED	756	2,094	3,361	////////	0

[1]Count inspections on the following basis: one inspection is one visit by one inspector to one structure or property.

*See P. 6a for data on Mechanical portion of Building Code.

In relation to the Housing Code:

1. Are inspections for compliance with the Housing Code made on the basis of complaints only, Yes ☐ No ☒, planned area house to house inspections, Yes ☐ No ☒, or both, Yes ☒ No ☐?

**These inspections include initial inspections, routine reinvestigations, investigations for court purposes, and complaints.

***The Fire Prevention Bureau does not issue violation notices but makes reinspections of structures where violations are found.

As outlined in subsection "a" above, a fire inspection was undertaken at the direction of the Major in Census Tract nos. 4, 6 and 7, all located in the upper South Providence area.

There is presently on file an application for the Code Enforcement Program (See below: Pg. 15).

The Fifteen Acres, Estamaro division has undertaken a new program providing for a complete A.P.H.C. inspection, in addition to the individual fire inspection, or any returns in the upper South Providence area.

It is anticipated that during 1975, the division will receive a federal grant under an Urban Renewal Housing Code enforcement Program which should put at least six additional housing inspectors in the field as well as providing three housing inspectors and the additional clerical personnel.

It is estimated that three and one half years will be required to finish the

(continued on p. 6a)

2,342	2,555	3,301		71
14,320	1,391	14,234	34,673**	3002
592	375	2,376	2,305	***
601	24	2,313	[illegible]	322
24	35	13	[illegible]	[illegible]
750	2,34	3,301		0

*See p. 6a for the economical position of Auditor General.

14

**These inspections include initial inspections, routine reinspections, investigations for court orders, and complaints.

***The Fire Prevention Bureau does not issue violation notices but makes reinspections of structures where violations are found.

initial inspection of the estimated 68,600 dwelling units in the City. Reinspection in areas already completed and in areas yet to be inspected are continuous and have no point of termination. Attached as an exhibit is a data sheet indicating areas and dates of completed and ongoing inspections, reinspections, and planned inspections and reinspections. (See Exhibit I: EE)

H.

	Mechanical
Number of Permits Issued Under Code	2,367
Number of Inspections Made	18,647
Number of Violation Notices Issued	525
Number of Violation Notices Satisfied	525
Number of Stop Orders Issued	31
Number of Certificates of Completion Issued	4,165

2. Describe any changes made since the last submission in the basis, methods or techniques for securing Housing Code compliance.

a) As outlined above a concentrated inspection of Census Tract Nos. 4, 6, and 7 has been initiated.

b) During 1965, a CAC Subcommittee on Code Review was created which will, among other things, review the Housing Code and its administration and make recommendations as to how enforcement can be improved.

c) The continued prosecution program of the Minimum Housing Division resulted in a total of 737 arraignments and appearances in Police Court for the owners of substandard houses. There were 19 trials which resulted in a total of $663.00 in fines and one 10 day jail sentence.

3. Supply the following information in connection with the administration and enforcement of the Housing Code. (*Note:* If this same information is available in a different form of reporting by the community, it may be so reported in lieu of I. 3.) **

	Number of structures	Number of dwelling units
a. Inspected during past 12 months	3,875	5,767
b. (1) Found in noncompliance with housing code during past 12 months	1,748	2,117
(2) Noncompliance carryover from prior inspections	7,580	15,323*
(3) Total requiring compliance action b(1) plus b(2)	9,328	17,440*
c. (1) Brought into compliance during past 12 months	6,660	12,320*
(2) Razed or otherwise eliminated during past 12 months	295	353
(3) Total compliance actions completed c(1) plus c(2)	6,955	12,673
(4) Remaining in noncompliance at end of past 12 months b(3) minus c(3)	2,373	4,767
d. Estimated number to be brought into compliance during the coming year	1,300	3,250

*These inspections include initial inspections, routine reinvestigations, investigations for court purposes, and complaints.

**See also: Neighborhood Improvement Reports, Exhibit I: GG

16

4. Complete the following table to show the record of appeals filed during the past 12 months as a result of noncompliance actions taken under the Housing Code. (*Note:* If the data called for below do not apply to the appeals procedure in your community, indicate the actions taken under your alternative procedure.) *

Number filed with Appeals Board	17[1]
Number resolved by Appeals Board	13
Number filed with local governing body	None
Number resolved by governing body	None
Number filed with courts	10
Number resolved by the courts	6

[1]Four of these are presently continued.

*See also: Exhibit I: HH, Annual Report of the Activities of the Housing Board of Review.

Supplementary Material Required. Submit the following supplementary material for each code adopted or revised since the last submission.

(1) Model Codes. In each case where the community has adopted, since the last submission, nationally recognized model codes, submit one copy of the adopting ordinance and one copy of each subsequent ordinance amending or affecting such codes. Do not submit copies of the model codes.

(2) Other Codes. In each case where a code has been adopted since the last submission and a model code was not used, submit one copy of the code now in effect and of each amendment thereto. Also submit one copy of the adopting ordinance and one copy of each subsequent ordinance amending or affecting that code if code itself does not specifically note adoption ordinance, number and date.

(3) Submit one copy of each revision or amendment of a code in effect at the time of the last submission which has been adopted since that submission.

OBJECTIVE: The development, approval or adoption, and implementation of a comprehensive general plan for the community as a whole.

A. **A planning Commission or Agency was established on** December 2, 1913 and reorganized on July 21, 1944

B. **Fill in the following:**

Comprehensive Community Plans, Programs and Regulatory Measures

ITEM	NOT APPROVED		APPROVED							
	TARGET DATE FOR APPROVAL		DATE LAST APPROVED OR AMENDED		CURRENT		UNDER REVIEW		TARGET DATE FOR COMPLETION OF REVIEW	
	MO.	YR.	MO.	YR.	YES	NO	YES	NO	MO.	YR.
LAND USE PLAN										
MAJOR THOROUGHFARE PLAN	1/		5	1964	X		X		12	1965*
COMMUNITY FACILITIES PLAN										
ZONING ORDINANCE	2/		10	1963	X		X		6	1966**
SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS	3/									
PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM			12	1965	X					

C. **List any additional plans or planning studies; indicate whether in preparation or completed.**

College Hill	2/10/59 (Scheduled for reprint)
Downtown Master Plan	10/4/60
Community Renewal Program***	12/17/64
Master Plan (Revised)	5/5/64 (Amended: See Exhibit II: ZZ)
A Center for the Performing Arts for Rhode Island	6/18/63
Civil Defense Shelter Program	3/5/65 (See Exhibits II: KKK and LLL)
Open Spaces & Recreation	
Open Space Land & BOR Programs	In Progress
Green Acres (State supported)	In Progress
Urban Beautification Program	In Progress

***Six sets of seven volumes of the Community Renewal Program were sent to HHFA New York Regional Office on December 22, 1964.

D. **Has the community, as shown above, met the goals for the adoption or approval of the items set forth in its last submission? Yes** ☒ **No** ☐ **. If "No" is checked, indicate fully what progress was made and why such goals were not met.**

1/ A generalized land use and circulation plan was approved by the Plan Commission in May 1964 and published in December. It provides a summary Master Plan for the City. After an intensive analysis of the adequacy of existing school recreation and main arteries, the City Plan Commission adopted revised Master Plans in 1965 governing all three basic public facilities. These plans while in draft form only contain priorities and cost estimates. A more polished form, including graphics should be published in final form in 1966 upon approval of our pending 701 application submitted in May, 1965. These draft copies are not available for submission but will be submitted in next year's Workable Program.

2/ A major revision of the 1951 Zoning Ordinance is currently underway as a 701 project. Due to complexities inherent in such a massive revamping, the previous target date, 11/1965, was unable to be met.

(Continued on Page 9a)

** A request for additional Federal funds for completion of the Zoning Study is now pending in New York.

3/ Providence is almost completely a built-up city, and subdivisions are likely to occur only in urban renewal clearance areas; therefore, no subdivision ordinance as such has been adopted. Platting requiring new streets is controlled by the Providence City Council which must approve all street layouts; the Council obtains by law an advisory opinion thereon from the City Plan Commission.

E. 1. **Zoning Ordinance Compliance**

a. **By what department or official is the zoning ordinance administered?**

Director of the Department of Building Inspection

b. **By what department or board are variances from the ordinance considered?**

Zoning Board of Review

c. **By what department or board are appeals from administrative decisions considered?**

Zoning Board of Review

d. **Furnish the following data for the past 12 months: (*Note:* A printed annual report containing the information may be submitted in lieu of the data below).**

ITEM	NO. FILED	NO. GRANTED
(1) REQUESTS FOR ZONING RECLASSIFICATION	41	16
(2) REQUESTS FOR VARIANCES FROM PROVISIONS OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE	169	113
(3) APPEALS FROM ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ON ZONING	1	1
(4) APPEALS TO COURTS FROM ACTIONS UNDER (1), (2) OR (3)	5	(1)
(5) LEGAL ACTION TO OBTAIN COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING ORDINANCE	15	//////////

[1]Number either denied or upheld

2. **Subdivision Regulation Compliance**

a. **By what department or board are the Subdivision Regulations administered?**

Not applicable

b. **Furnish the following data for the past 12 months:**

		NO. FILED	NO. APPROVED	NO. DISAPPROVED	NO. WITHDRAWN
PRELIMINARY PLATS					
FINAL PLATS					

[1]All still pending

*See also: Exhibit II: NNN, Annual Report of the Activities of the Zoning Board of Review and Zoning Office

F. Is the community participating in a regional, county, or metropolitan area planning program? Yes ☒ No ☐

If "Yes" is checked, identify the program and the participating agencies. If "No" is checked, indicate what steps are being taken, or could be taken, to participate in such a program.

Regional and state-wide transportation planning, in accord with Section 9 of the Federal Highway Act of 1962, was actively supported through the participation of the Commission's Director as Vice Chairman of the Technical Core Committee for a new state transportation study. This major Federally-assisted project got underway under a plan proposed by the Core Committee which was approved by a broadly representative policy group composed of local, state and national officials. The project is titled, Comprehensive State-Wide Transportation and Land Use Planning Program.

G. Does the Planning Commission receive technical help in its planning activities:

1. On a consulting basis? Yes ☒ No ☐

2. From resident staff employed to serve the Commission? Yes ☒ No ☐

If "Yes" is checked for either item, indicate specifically the kind of technical help provided at this time, including the number and types of technical employees.

From time to time as required. Legal and technical planning consultants are employed in connection with the 701 Zoning Study presently underway and described elsewhere in this report. A consultant was also employed to do a land absorption rate study for the pending GNRP described elsewhere in this report.

List of staff positions currently filled:	1 Planning Director	1 Deputy Director
	3 Principal Planners	3 Senior Planners
	3 Associate Planners	3 Assistant Planners
	3 Planning Aides	
Zoning Study:	1 Principal Planner	
	1 Associate Planner	

H. Describe briefly plans (1) to provide or (2) to increase the present level of technical help, including the time schedule for putting such plans into effect.

A 701 application for "An Urban Planning Grant for a Data Bank Feasibility Study, for a Photogrammetrics Study and Master Plan Elements," is now pending in New York. The 314 application mentioned in last year's Program (an Urban Design Study) has been set aside, at least temporarily, due to more urgent priority scheduling by the Administration of activities in more immediate productive areas.

[illegible] regional [illegible] transportation planning, in accord with Section 9 of the Federal Highway Act of 1962, was actively supported throughout the preliminary stages of the coordinated transportation study. Thomas [illegible] Director of the Department of Transportation, as Vice Chairman of the Technical Committee for the above transportation study. This major legislative provision [illegible] mandatory major urban plan proposed by the Core Committee which was approved by a broadly representative public group composed of local, state and national officials. The project is still [illegible] to be presently state wide transportation [illegible] the Minimum Program.

[illegible] time to time as required. In addition [illegible] coordination with the 7 Boston Regional Planning Agencies [illegible] the Boston area. A consultant will be employed to do a transportation study [illegible] as described elsewhere in this report.

Line or staff positions [illegible]:

- 1 Planning Director
- 2 Principal Planners
- 3 Associate Planners
- 3 Planning Aides
- 1 Principal Planner
- 1 Associate Planner

[illegible] Officer:

- 1 Deputy Director
- 3 Senior Planners
- 3 Junior Planners

Staff [illegible]:

- 1 Principal Planner
- 1 Associate Planner

[illegible] Application for "An Urban Planning Grant for a Data Bank, Feasibility Study, [illegible] Service Study and Master Plan Alternatives," is now pending in Washington. The 701 application mentioned in last year's report (an urban renewal program) has been [illegible] that the commitment, due to the priority concept in [illegible] administration of activities in our [illegible] program.

I. Describe how the local government and other local public agencies are using the plans developed by the planning agency and the technical assistance of the planning agency and its staff to insure orderly growth and development.

All activites of this sort are included in the annual review of the Capital Improvement Program with the exception of the Providence Housing Authority's program. The Authority works very closely with the City Plan Commission in determining locations for new projects and has been in agreement with the Commission in each instance. During the past year many innovations have been incorporated in the compilation of the Capital Improvement Program. School, recreation and other Master Plan elements for the overall development of the City are incorporated into the Capital Program on a systematic basis over a six-year period, including their relationship to urban renewal projects. City departments and agencies submit their capital budgets and planned activities to the Plan Commission. These development plans are incorporated into the Master Plan elements on a systematic basis for inclusion in an overall six-year Capital Improvement Program. During the past year the City's Finance Director, the Commission and staff developed an expanded program of review, discussion and re-evaluation of each department's long-range financing. The combined Capital Improvement Program for 1965-1970 was adopted in December 1965 (See Exhibits IV: II and JJ).

Supplementary Material Required. Submit, where they have been adopted or given official recognition since the last submission, the following supplementary material:

(1) One certified copy of the ordinance creating the Planning Commission.

(2) One up-to-date certified copy of land use plan, major thoroughfare plan, community facilities plan, public improvements program or other special plans now in effect, including maps, text and other related material.

(3) One certified copy of the adopted zoning ordinance and of each amendment thereto, including regulations and official map currently in effect.

(4) One certified copy of the adopted subdivision ordinance and of each amendment thereto, including regulations currently in effect.

(5) One copy of evidence of adoption or official recognition of the land use, major thoroughfare and community facilities plans and of the public improvements program. This evidence may be in the form of a certified copy of the minutes of the meeting of the Planning Commission or governing body at which adoption or recognition was given or a letter from the head of the local government or from the Chairman of the Planning Commission stating that such plan is officially recognized and used in planning and controlling the development of the community.

(6) One copy of any reports that have been issued that show the progress of planning in the community.

OBJECTIVE: A communitywide study to determine what areas are blighted or in danger of becoming blighted and the identification of the nature, intensity, and causes of blight, and a program for seeing that each nieghborhood is made up of decent homes in a suitable living environment.

A. Name the department or official body responsible for making neighborhood analyses.

City Plan Commission

B. Indicate the status of each item of the Neighborhood Analyses by completing either column 1 or columns 2 and 3 with respect to a complete analysis of all neighborhoods in the community.

ITEM	1 DATE THIS ITEM WAS COMPLETED	2 PERCENT COMPLETED	3 TARGET DATE FOR COMPLETION OF THIS ITEM
DELINEATON OF NEIGHBORHOOD AREAS AND BOUNDARIES	11/27/63	100	
INFORMATION ON HOUSING CONDITIONS INCLUDING LOCATION AND EXTENT OF BLIGHT OR POTENTIAL BLIGHT	1/27/64	100	
CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES AFFECTED BY POOR HOUSING	11/27/63	100	
INFORMATION ON CONDITIONS IN NONRESIDENTIAL AREAS, INCLUDING LOCATION AND EXTENT OF BLIGHT OR POTENTIAL BLIGHT	11/27/63	100	
ADEQUACY OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES, BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE	1/27/64	100	
CAUSES OF BLIGHT	11/27/63	100	
IDENTIFICATION OF STEPS NEEDED TO ELIMINATE PRESENT BLIGHT AND PREVENT FUTURE BLIGHT	11/27/63	100	

C. Describe the progress made during the last year in developing the information needed to delineate areas and identify the nature, intensity and causes of blight in each.

The "Providence Community Renewal Program, 1963-1970" was completed in published form in December 1964. This study divides the city into twenty-three residential and seventeen non-residential areas for purposes of analysis and planning. Each area has been examined in order to identify the nature, intensity, and causes of blight in each. Six copies (7 volumes each) of the CRP are on file in the New York Regional Office.

Note: The General Neighborhood Renewal Plan for the Federal Hill - South Providence Area (now pending in New York) includes five of these residential areas and one non-residential area.

D. What use has the community made since the last submission of the data assembled through the neighborhood analyses to develop and carry out systematic programs for the elimination and prevention of slums and blight in any neighborhood?

Under the Community Renewal Program, the appropriate urban renewal treatment type was determined for each planning area in the city, and tentative schedules of activities were prepared which would permit coordination of all renewal activities in a systematic manner. Mayor Joseph A. Doorley, Jr. took office on January 4, 1964, and after an intensive re-evaluation of the city's overall development program initiated renewed emphasis on a program of neighborhood renewal based on a principle of maximum residential rehabilitation, along with provision of neighborhood public facilities - schools and recreation - and coordination of neighborhood social welfare programs. Mayor Doorley initiated four new urban renewal programs:

Mount Hope Rehabilitation Project
Federal Hill - South Providence GNRP
Code Enforcement Programs for Washington Park and the Webster Avenue Areas
Demolition Program for the Upper South Providence Area to be coordinated with intensive code enforcement of all city codes

E. What plans does the community have for the further use of such data in developing systematic programs for the elimination and prevention of slums and blight in each neighborhood?

The GNRP program described in paragraph "D" preceding establishes reasonable specific guidelines for renewal activities through 1973 and does not include all residential areas or non-residential areas which might be programmed in the years following. The data assembled for the GNRP is organized and tabulated in a manner which facilitates both development of urban renewal programs within its time span and continuous updating for use in formulating programs even further into the future. The Community Renewal Program data is being carefully scrutinized to determine additional renewal treatment proposals for other sections of the city, as well as the economic feasibility of these proposals, to be undertaken in the foreseeable future.

Through a unified inspection program combining the Minimum Housing Standards and the American Public Health Association (APHA) standards, the data generated under the CRP is being updated as part of the city's ongoing program to eliminate blight. This unified inspection program has been recently computerized on the city's IBM 1401 computer (the city is to receive an IBM 360 computer by the end of 1966 which will permit a more sophisticated use of all computerized data).

F. **What progress has been made in systematic communitywide programming of renewal activities (code enforcement, rehabilitation, clearance and redevelopment, etc.), neighborhood by neighborhood?**

Housing Code Enforcement: House by house inspection on a census tract basis was continued during the year. As of the end of 1965, 52,833 of the housing units in the City had been inspected. Work was completed in 1965 in Census Tract Nos. 2, 9, 34, and 35 and work was begun in Tract No. 4. During 1966, inspections and enforcement will be extended into additional census tracts. (See Exhibit I: FF, Annual Report of the Division of Minimum Housing Standards).

Federal Programs: Progress made on various projects in execution or planning is summarized as follows:

Code Enforcement Projects: An application is on file which includes two neighborhoods (Washington Park and Webster Avenue) where it is felt that an intensive code enforcement program coupled with a public improvement program will prevent these areas from requiring more drastic renewal treatment in the future. The two neighborhoods selected are basically sound but are showing signs of neglect. Immediate action should serve to forestall necessity for eventual clearance or massive rehabilitation.

Demolition Program: An application is on file for the Upper South Providence area which involves the removal of approximately 100 structures which are mostly boarded up and vacant. This demolition program and the vigorous enforcement of all city codes will serve to prevent further deterioration and stabilize an area containing about 3,000 structures.

Urban Renewal Projects: Progress made on various projects in execution or planning is summarized as follows:

East Side Renewal Project (R-4): Survey and Planning Activities completed with submission of Final Project Report to the Urban Renewal Administration in October 1965.

Railroad Relocation Project (R-8): Survey and Planning activities interrupted in July, 1965 by rejection of Final Project Report by the Urban Renewal Administration. Budgetary revision for replanning of smaller area requested in December, 1965.

Mount Hope Project: Application for Survey and Planning submitted to Urban Renewal Administration in September, 1965.

Federal Hill - South Providence GNRP Area: Plan prepared by City Plan Commission submitted by Redevelopment Agency to Urban Renewal Administration in December, 1965.

West Broadway: Application for Survey and Planning submitted to Urban Renewal Administration in December, 1965. (continued Page 15a

Supplementary Material Required. Submit the following supplementary material if it has been prepared and has not been previously submitted. See Community Renewal Program on file with New York Regional Office.

(1) **One copy of analyses, statistical data or estimates (including maps and charts) on the total blight problem of the locality (e.g., numbers and locations of substandard units, data on occupancy characteristics, etc.)**

(2) **One copy of a map showing the delineation of logical residential neighborhoods for planning purposes. The map should also indicate those neighborhoods where early action to correct conditions of blight is planned, if such information is known.**

(3) **One copy of communitywide program for community improvement activities.**

25

Lippitt Hill (R-3): The first phase of the University Heights Development, comprised of 146 apartments and townhouses, continued in construction. Of 36 housing units available for occupancy, 30 were occupied by the end of the year. The second phase of this construction, comprised of an additional 130 housing units and the shopping center, is expected to be in construction during 1966.

West River (1-6): The fourteenth and final industrial plant is now under construction in the area. The project was officially recorded as complete by the Urban Renewal Administration on January 21, 1964.

Central Classical (R-2): Construction of the Education Center is expected to start in 1966, as is construction of the proposed housing complex. Three structures are already completed: a state headquarters for the Narragansett Council, Boy Scouts of America; a new edifice for the Church of God and Saints of Christ; and a new structure for the Martin De Porres Catholic Interracial Council.

Weybosset Hill (R-7): Site preparation work in this project is in process. As of December 31, 1965, 30 of the 34 families and 338 of the 409 individuals have relocated as have 153 of the 293 businesses. Ninety-one of the 172 buildings have been demolished and the first phase of the site improvement work is well underway. The project has so far resulted in the construction of one building, the Majestic Parking Garage; a 13 story apartment tower is being constructed near LaSalle Square. Several other buildings are scheduled to be under construction soon.

See Exhibit II: MMM Annual Report of the Providence Redevelopment Agency.

OBJECTIVE: To identify and establish the administrative responsibility and capacity for carrying out overall Workable Program for Community Improvement activities.

A. **Coordination. Describe changes since the last submission in the way in which the community's overall Workable Program is being coordinated.**

As noted in last year's Workable Program section dealing with proposed administrative organization, the Mayor has been intimately involved in the urban renewal program and has served as Coordinator of all Departments and Agencies involved. To increase the coordinating power of his office, the Mayor has designated on a weekly basis a special time for a detailed review of community problems with individual department heads.

B. **Describe briefly progress made during the past year in strengthening any weak spots—insufficient staff, ineffective procedures—in the community's administrative organization for carrying out the Program.**

The Mayor has had under careful study proposals which aim at a reogranization of the local renewal apparatus. He has reviewed comparable efforts in other communities and has conferred with nationally prominent renewal officials in order to develop that sort of local organization which will best address itself to the local situation.

C. **Based on an analysis of the community's present administrative organization and means for Program coordination, what can and will be done to improve it during the ensuing year?**

During the ensuing year, the Mayor intends to study the comments he has solicited from renewal officials on reorganization proposals and then create that organization which is most promising in achieving the maximum thoughtful productivity by public officials and organizations involved in the prosecution of local urban renewal.

Supplementary Material Required. None required except what may be needed or useful to supplement what can be shown on the form.

FINANCING

OBJECTIVE: The recognition of need by the community and the development of the means for meeting the costs of carrying out an effective program for the elimination and prevention of slums and blight.

A. Complete the following table. If accounts and budgets are not set up on this basis, reasonably accurate estimates may be used. Estimate expenditures this year on a full 12-month basis through the end of the community's fiscal year.

ACTIVITY	AMOUNT EXPENDED OR BUDGETED: LAST FISCAL YEAR ENDING 65	AMOUNT EXPENDED OR BUDGETED: NEXT FISCAL YEAR ENDING 66	SOURCE OF FUNDS (*FEES, GENERAL FUNDS, BONDS, ETC.*)
Building	319,776,64	327,681.76	General Fund
CODE COMPLIANCE Housing	119,032.32	118,727.32	General Fund
DEVELOPMENT OR REVISION OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN	70,000.00	70,000.00	General Fund
City Plan Commission	2,000.00	2,500.00	General Fund
ZONING ADMINISTRATION Building Inspection	40,802.52	44,922.84	General Fund
SUBDIVISION CONTROL ADMINISTRATION			
NEIGHBORHOOD ANALYSES	35,000.00	45,000.00	General Fund
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS *	362,000.00 7,742,973	517,500.00 15,239,399	General Fund Bond Issues**

B. List any contributions or grants of money or services within the past year to the community, by private sources or other public sources, for the kinds of activity indicated in A. above.

ACTIVITY	CONTRIBUTED BY	AMOUNT OR ESTIMATED VALUE OF SERVICES
None		

C. Describe any significant changes since the last submission in the community's ability or willingness to give financial support to activities in any of the categories identified in Paragraph A. If the amount actually expended last year for any category was substantially less than that estimated in the last submission, indicate the reason therefor.

It is intended that the amount of $70,000 of the City Plan Commission Budget will continue to be devoted to comprehensive planning.

* Estimated Capital Budget disbursements
** Excludes Federal and/or State funds

D. Briefly indicate new goals established for the coming year for financial support to the activities identified in paragraph A on the preceding page.

Zoning as a legal method of controlling the development and uses of land is still a major tool to implement City Planning. In June 1964 it came under close scrutiny after the Federal government granted funds to help finance a comprehensive review study by the Plan Commission. This updating and development of improved machinery to carry out objectives of the Master Plan was commenced with the addition of a special staff to undertake the work. Because of the complexities involved and the requirement of State legislation to allow the city to change its Zoning Ordinance, the target date for completion of these recommendations has been revised from November, 1965 to June, 1966. Application for additional assistance to continue this program is now pending at the New York Regional Office.

E. 1. Does the community have a capital improvements budget or similar program for financing the future provision of scheduled public works improvements such as public buildings, streets and lighting, sewer extension and treatment, water extension and purification, urban renewal projects, etc.? Yes ☒ No ☐

If "Yes" is checked, what is the latest fiscal year covered by this program? 1965-1966
If "No" is checked, what is the target date for completing such a program or budget?_____
_____. **Beginning with what fiscal year?**_____.

2. Did the community meet its capital improvements goals during the past year? Yes ☒ No ☐
If "No" is checked give explanation.

3. What are the significant capital improvement goals for next year?

The Capital Improvement Program for the years 1965-1970 presents in summary form the implementation of Master Plan proposals and long-term physical developments in accordance with the city's ability to pay. Thorough consideration was given to each of the department's overall needs and objectives.

The 1965-1970 Capital Improvement Program was adopted by the Plan Commission on December 9, 1965 and totaled $100,614,260. Capital Budget recommendations for 1965-1966 amount to $43,355,070. $517,500 is to be financed from current revenue; $15,239,399 is to be financed from existing bond authorities and $27,598,171 is to be financed under existing Federal and State programs. *

Supplementary Material Required. Submit one copy of a summary of the community's annual budget and one copy of capital improvements budget or similar program, if adopted and not previously submitted.

* See Exhibits IV: HH, II, and JJ

29

OBJECTIVE: A community program to relocate families displaced by governmental action in decent, safe, and sanitary housing within their means. Governmental action includes code enforcement, slum clearance, and the construction of highways and other public works.

A. 1. What Agency has been officially designated to determine needs and to develop *plans* to meet the relocation housing needs of families displaced as a result of:

Urban Renewal Projects Family and Business Relocation Service

Other Governmental Action Family and Business Relocation Service

2. What Agency has been officially designated to provide *relocation assistance* for families displaced as a result of:

Urban Renewal Projects Family and Business Relocation Service

Other Governmental Action Family and Business Relocation Service

3. What Agency is responsible for coordinating or centralizing planning, relocation assistance and action toward making standard housing available for displaced families?

Providence Redevelopment Agency & Family & Business Relocation Service

B. 1. Outline in the following table the number of families actually displaced by various types of governmental action during the preceding year, ending 9 (Month) 30 (and) 65 (Year).

TYPE OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTION	NUMBER OF FAMILIES DISPLACED[3]		
	TOTAL	WHITE	NONWHITE
URBAN RENEWAL PROJECTS[1]			
PROJECT NAME Weybosset Hill R.I. R-7	12	11	1
PROJECT NAME			
PROJECT NAME			
PROJECT NAME			
HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION Huntington Expressway Ext. -6 Douglas to Charles (IR95)-2 South Water to Ives (IR 195)-6	28	28	0
CODE ENFORCEMENT Ives to Seekonk - 14			
OTHER[2]			
TOTAL	40	39	1

[1] Assisted and non-assisted projects. Displacement caused by code enforcement, highway and other public construction in a project area should be counted as project displacement.
[2] Identify by name of project or type of activity. Include families displaced by the acquisition of land for public housing or other public purposes and by the removal of over-income families from public housing, etc.
[3] The racial breakdown may be eliminated for any community in which it is a substantiated fact that all housing resources, public and private, are fully available to all families without regard to race.

See Supplementary Sheet - Page 19a

Explanation of Accomplishments and Deficiencies Relative to Target Dates Set Forth in the 1965 Workable Program Submission.

1) Weybosset Hill R. I. R-7: 12 families relocated from project site during past year. Seven families remain on site working against a June 30, 1966 deadline. Also, during the past fiscal year, an additional total of 253 individual householders and roomers, 229 white and 24 non-white, were displaced from the project area and received similar relocation service as required for families.

2) East Side Renewal R. I. R-4: No action taken relative to 35 families; awaiting final approval of project plans from the Federal Urban Renewal Administration.

3) Community Renewal Program: No action relative to 146 families; Community Renewal Program pending in City Council.

4) Highway Construction: 28 families were displaced during the past year. These were families remaining on the following highway project sites: Huntington Expressway Extension, 6 families; Douglas to Charles, 2 families; South Water to Ives, 6 families; and Ives to Seekonk River, 14 families. 11 White individuals were displaced from the Red Bridge project area. Of the total 125 families, the 97 families estimated to be displaced from the Route 6 Connector and the Riverside Drive Connector to Route 195, did not materialize due to the delay in acquisition by the Rhode Island State Department of Public Works. These projects were rescheduled into the community plan for the relocation of families to be displaced by governmental action during the next two years.

5) Code Enforcement: There was no relocation activity required as a result of the anticipated code enforcement program.

6) Other: No relocation activity anticipated in the acquisition of land for public housing or other public purposes.

Changes and rescheduling of anticipated programs, including the delays in actual execution of proposed highway projects, accounts for the great difference between the actual total displacement of 40 families during the past year compared to the anticipated total of 343 families estimated to be displaced by governmental action.

2. Indicate whether these families have been satisfactorily rehoused, describing any problems or difficulties encountered in their relocation.

After review of last year's activity it is concluded that no outstanding problems existed in relocating 40 families from active projects.

The above statement is predicated on the adequate supply of standard housing resources. The Relocation Service is constantly trying to improve the quality and quantity of available housing. This aspect of the program has focused attention on an expanding public affairs program.

C. Outline in the tables below the latest community plan for the relocation of families to be displaced by governmental action in the next two years, ending 9 (Month) 30 (and) 67 (Year)

1. Relocation Housing Needs

TYPE OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTION	NUMBER OF FAMILIES DISPLACED[3]		
	TOTAL	WHITE	NONWHITE
URBAN RENEWAL PROJECTS[1]			
PROJECT NAME Weybosset Hill R.I. R-7	7	6	1
PROJECT NAME East Side Renewal R.I. R-4 (Randall Square Phase)	43	24	19
PROJECT NAME General Neighborhood Renewal Plan (Federal Hill West)	280	252	28
PROJECT NAME Riverside Drive (Rte. 195 Connector)	25	21	4
HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION Route 6 Connector	160	145	15
CODE ENFORCEMENT None anticipated during this period.			
OTHER[2] None anticipated during this period.			
TOTAL	515	448	67

[1] Assisted and non-assisted projects. Displacement caused by code enforcement, highway and other public construction in a project area should be counted as project displacement.
[2] Identify by name of project or type of activity. Include families displaced by the acquisition of land for public housing or other public purposes and by the removal of over-income families from public housing, etc.
[3] The racial breakdown may be eliminated for any community in which it is a substantiated fact that all housing resources, public and private, are fully available to all families without regard to race.

Present plans call for the execution of East Side Renewal Project to take place by late 1966. Anticipated relocation activities for General Neighborhood Renewal Plan (Federal Hill West Project) comprises approximately one half total workload of 559 families (503 white & 56 non-white). Present planning indicates that this project will become active in September of 1966.

2. Estimate of Relocation Housing Needs and Resources[1]

ESTIMATED DISPLACEMENT			HOUSING ESTIMATED TO BE AVAILABLE TO DISPLACED FAMILIES[3]							
INCOME[2] GROUPS	NUMBER OF FAMILIES TO BE DISPLACED		PRIVATE				PUBLIC		DEFICIT	
			RENTAL		SALES					
	WHITE	NON-WHITE	WHITE	NON-WHITE	WHITE	NON-WHITE	WHITE	NON-WHITE	WHITE	NON-WHITE
LOW (Under $3,000)	282	49	1,408	1,267	237	213	808	808	None	
MIDDLE ($3,000 - $5,999)	152	18	580	522	474	427	380	380	None	
HIGH ($6,000 - Over)	14	0	82	74	79	71	0	0	None	
TOTAL	448	67	2,070	1,863	790	711	1,188	1,188	None	

[1] The racial breakdown may be eliminated for any community in which it is a substantiated fact that all housing resources, public and private, are fully available to all families without regard to race.
[2] Insert within the parentheses the income ranges as defined by the locality for each of these groups.
[3] Include only standard housing which displaced families may reasonably be expected to obtain in competition with other families and at rents and sales prices within their means, as determined by income-to-rent or income-to-sales price ratios applicable in the community. Include also public housing under State- and locally-aided programs.

3. Ability to Pay Standards

State all rent-income and price-income ratios used to compute C2 above.

The basis for compiling the Ability to Pay Standards including rent income and price income ratios was determined by utilizing the following resources: (a) 1960 U. S. Census Bureau Data, (b) American Public Health Association Survey, (c) Community Renewal Program Data, and (d) actual records from Family and Business Relocation Service files. (See P. 21a)

4. Relocation Housing Resources

What are the main sources of the housing which the community expects to be available to meet relocation needs, as estimated in C2 above, i.e., whether through vacancies and turnover in existing supply, new construction, rehabilitation of existing supply, etc? Indicate approximate proportions of each source.

The Community expects the following main resources of housing to be available to meet relocation needs:

	RENTAL		SALES	
	WHITE	NON-WHITE	WHITE	NON-WHITE
a) Vacancy and Turnover	1,706	1,535	718	646
b) New Construction	364	328	72	65
c) Rehabilitation Housing	-----	-----	---	---
TOTALS	2,070	1,863	790	711

Explanation:

a) Based on study prepared for General Neighborhood Renewal Plan submission (November, 1965)
b) Based on study prepared for General Neighborhood Renewal Plan submission (November, 1965)
c) None. Only clearance phase of proposed projects expected to fall within projected time period.

All listings registered must comply with the letter and spirit of the recently passed 1965 Rhode Island Fair Housing Legislation. Also, said listings must meet the requirements of our open occupancy policy established July, 1961. (See P. 21b)

33

3. Ability to Pay Standards

The gross rental ratios are based on 20 per cent of the annual gross income and the income to sales price ratios are 2-1/2 times the gross income.

Within the total of 448 white families facing displacement in the next two years, 282, or approximately 63 per cent, are in the low income group (under $3,000), 152, or approximately 34 per cent, are in the middle income group (between $3,000 and $5,999). The remaining 14 families, or approximately 3 per cent, are in the high income group of over $6,000.

Out of a total of 67 non-white families to be displaced, 49, or approximately 73 per cent, are in the low income category. The remaining 18 families, or approximately 27 per cent, are in the middle income category.

By applying the established gross rental income ratios to the 2,070 standard private rental units estimated to be available, it was determined that 1,408, or approximately 68 per cent, are in the low income range; 580 or 28 per cent are in the middle income range and the remaining 82, or approximately 4 per cent, are in the high income range.

As you will note, the figure for private rental units available to non-white families is 1,863 or a difference of 10 per cent. This lower figure reflects the experienced loss of acceptable listings because of discrimination. The Relocation Service continues to maintain strict adherence to an open occupancy policy regarding listings.

Moreover, the Rhode Island General Assembly, at its 1965 session, enacted a Rhode Island Fair Housing Law. This new legal tool should afford the minority resident in our workload a better opportunity for open housing within the State of Rhode Island.

Every effort shall be expended to work closely with all segments of government to assure standard housing on an equal basis within the economic reach of all displacees.

An estimated 790 standard sales properties are expected to be available. When sales price income ratios are applied to this total, 237, or 30 per cent, are in the low income group and 474, or 60 per cent, are in the middle income group. The remaining 79, or 10 per cent, are in the high income group.

Past experiences indicate that of the 790 sales properties available, 711, or 10 per cent, will not be available to non-white families. This difference reflects the degree of discrimination recorded within the community.

When the standard eligibility income limits of the Providence Housing Authority are applied to the total available public housing units, 68 per cent are in the low income range. The maximum income limit allowance of $4,800 places the remaining 32 per cent in the middle income range. These computations are based on a national average family composition of 3.5 persons.

Considering the preceding factual data, it may be concluded that no

housing deficit is expected within the next two years.

4. Relocation Housing Resources
   Low rent public housing

The Providence Housing Authority now maintains 2,767 low rent public housing units within the City of Providence. However, an additional 204 units at Bradford House for the Elderly are expected to be ready for occupancy in the spring of 1966. Based on the new total of 2,971 and considering an annual turnover of 20 per cent, 1,188 low rent public housing units should become available during the next two years.

The above mentioned turnover percentage has remained remarkably consistent over a period of several years.

D. 1. Has a housing referral service been established?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If the answer is "No", what means will be used to bring together managers and owners of properties being offered for sale or rent and families needing relocation housing?

2. What specific actions have been taken or are proposed by those responsible for seeing that additional housing is provided to meet any relocation deficit that may be shown in the last column of the preceding table, such as securing the active participation of local builders and lenders to build or rehabilitate housing for families of moderate or low income, including units for the elderly, minority group and large families; to use the special Federal financing aids, where needed; to make sites available at reasonable prices; and to eliminate discriminatory practices that limit the housing opportunities of minority families?

As previously stated, no housing deficiency exists, it should be pointed out that Mayor Joseph A. Doorley, Jr. has initiated a change in policy and programing emphasizing rehabilitation as opposed to massive clearance projects.

This progressive step should minimize displacement activities and thus produce an added resource by utilizing rehabilitation housing as a tool in the relocation process. Planned future projects such as the East Side Urban Renewal and General Neighborhood Renewal Plan are consistent with this change in direction.

All elderly displacees receive specialized services from a social case worker trained in problems of the elderly. Through the success of this specialized program, the Family & Business Relocation Service has completed a two year Ford Foundation sponsored "Study of the Relocation of Elderly Persons"; a volume printed by the University of Pennsylvania Press will be published in April of 1966.

This dimension has proven to be most helpful in investigating the problems faced by elderly residents during and after the relocation process.

NOTE: On request, the HHFA Regional Office will provide to those responsible for determining relocation housing needs and for planning to meet such needs information concerning the data required and how it should be assembled and presented.

Supplementary Material Required. Submit one copy of any reports or plans that have been prepared relating to housing needs and resources and to the relocation of displaced families. (See Exhibit VI:F)

CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

OBJECTIVE: Communitywide participation on the part of individuals and representative citizens' organizations which will provide, both in the community generally and in selected areas, the understanding and support necessary to accomplish community goals.

A. Name and title of the official responsible for assuring citizen participation in all Workable Program activities.

Mayor Joseph A. Doorley, Jr.

B. Give the dates on which citizens advisory committee meetings have been held during the past year.

Executive Committee: March 24, 1965, July 6, 1965*, Nov. 10, 1965*, Dec. 29, 1965, Feb. 8, 1966, March 8, 1966.
Subcommittee on Minority Housing Problems: July 6, 1965, Nov. 10, 1965*, Feb. 1, 1966, Feb. 15, 1966.
Subcommittee on the General Plan: Nov. 10, 1965*, Jan. 20, 1966.
Subcommittee on Code Review: Nov. 10, 1965*, Jan. 18, 1966, Feb. 15, 1966, (See P.23a)

C. List any changes in the membership of the citizens advisory committee since the last submission and, for any new members, show their business, professional, civic, and other affiliations. Identify those new members who represent the principal minority groups and organizations. (If not shown in previous submissions, list all members and their affiliations).

During the year, a reorganization of the CAC was undertaken. Additional members were appointed and new sub-committees were created.**

The following are resignations from the Executive Committee of the Mayor's Citizens Advisory Committee:

Mr. Harry J. Licht, local businessman
Mr. Francis X. LaFrance, Attorney

The following are new appointments to the Executive Committee:

Mr. Thomas J. Riggs, Jr., Vice President, Textron, Inc. and Chairman, Mayor's Business Advisory Council
Mr. Seth K. Gifford, Attorney and Chairman of Progress for Providence, the local O.E.O. coordinating body
Mr. Edward P. Gallogly, Attorney and former Lt. Governor
Mr. B. Albert Ford, a leader in the City's negro community

D. List the specific activities undertaken by the citizens advisory committee during the past year including studies, work programs.

On Nov. 10, 1965, the Executive Committee of the CAC and all of its subcommittees attended a seminar aimed at familiarizing new members with the overall renewal program in Providence. Attached as an exhibit is a copy of the seminar agenda. (See Exhibit VII: TT).

The subcommittee on Minority Group Housing Problems met several times to study the Mayor's request that they review the adequacy of relocation resources for displacees from the East Side Renewal Project. Attached as an exhibit is a tape recording of the committee's Feb. 15, 1966 meeting at which the East Side Project Director and the Director of Family Relocation submitted reports on this subject. The discussion which followed the formal presentation suggests the very real interest and participation by the members of the subcommittee. (See Exhibit VII: VV)

The subcommittee on Finance met to study the formation of a non-profit corporation to acquire real estate with the purpose of effecting its rehabilitation and its resale to the former occupant owner or to a new occupant owner under the most liberal terms obtainable in cooperation with agencies and instrumentalities of the federal, state, and municipal governments. These meetings culminated in a report by the subcommittee to the Chairman of the Executive Committee, a copy of which (See Page 23a)

* Indicates joint Executive Committee and subcommittee meeting.
** See item E, Page 24.

B. March 10, 1966.

Subcommittee on Finance: Nov. 10, 1965; Feb. 3, 1966:
Subcommittee on Central Business District: Nov. 10, 1965*

D. (continued)
is attached as an exhibit. Also attached as Exhibits are the By-laws of HOMES, Inc., the proposed non-profit organization, and the Articles of Association under which this group will incorporate (See Exhibit VII:BBB, CCC, and DDD).

Other committee activity involved: (1) the organization of three new subcommittees: The Subcommittee on the Central Business District, the Subcommittee on General Plan, and the Subcommittee on Code Review; and (2) expansion of membership in existing committees.

E. List the specific program activities of the citizens advisory committee to be undertaken during the coming year including problems for discussion and resolution, schedule of meetings, coordination with executive departments and governing body, etc.

As reported last year, the major program activities proposed for the CAC during the past year involved coordination by the Mayor of the CAC's operation with the activities of such existing lay commissions and agencies as 1) the City Plan Commission; 2) the Redevelopment Agency; 3) the Human Relations Commission; 4) the Division of Minimum Housing Standards; 5) the Housing and Zoning Boards of Review; and 6) Progress for Providence, Inc. During 1966, these efforts will continue. The Mayor in 1965 named to the CAC representatives of many of these organizations and clearly defined the major theme of his administration in terms of a concerted attack on local problems by those in the community elected, employed, or appointed to work on those problems. Accordingly, the CAC was and continues to be charged with the responsibility of meshing the community's general plan, the Community Development Program, and the metropolitan area's overall Economic Development Program in order (See Page 24a)

F. List subcommittees of the citizens advisory committee, with names of members of each, established to work on special problems such as equal opportunity for housing, neighborhood participation, code compliance, relocation housing, public information, capital improvement program, etc.

Subcommittee on Financing: Walter N. Coupe, Chairman: Ralph B. Owen; Andrew J. Bell, Jr.; Charles J. McCabe; George A. Freeman; Roland Desaulniers; Gov. Christopher DelSesto.

Subcommittee on Minority Group Housing: Joseph P. Dougherty, Chairman: Roger Brassard; Paris Sterrett; Alton W. Wiley, Esq.; Thomas Rampone; Edward O. White; Rev. Anthony J. Robinson; Mrs. Truman Jarrett; Joseph M. Finkle; George Castro; Mrs. William Mabray; Mrs. Alice Roberts.

Subcommittee on Central Business District: Edward O. Handy, Jr., Esq., Chairman: Robert W. Kenyon; Peter Laudati, Jr.; Leonard E. Johnson; Harry Pinkerson; Joseph Paolino; Joseph P. McGee, Jr.; Carroll S. Harrington; Kenneth Logowitz.

Subcommittee on General Plan: Dr. Basil G. Zimmer, Chairman: Herbert Spencer; Maurice A. Bissonnette; Seth K. Gifford; Robert Burgess; Mrs. George Downing; Rev. LeRoy R. Moser; Angelo Mosca; Edward B. Wiley; Mrs. Caroline Vale. (See Page 24a)

G. Indicate steps taken to provide staff assistance to this committee by the appointment of a permanent secretary, or otherwise, to develop and present factual information as a basis for discussion, prepare agenda and notices of meetings for members, prepare and type minutes of meetings and necessary reports to chief executive, etc.

During the past year, staff support and services were furnished by the Administrative Assistant to the Mayor. As a representative of the Executive Office, he has been able to make available relevant material. In addition, the City Administration intends during the coming year to appoint a permanent secretary to the CAC who will develop and present information as a basis of discussion, prepare agenda and notice of meetings for members, prepare and type minutes of meetings and necessary reports to the Mayor, etc.

E. (continued)

to maximize benefits possible in local renewal projects. The CAC has also been charged with 1) the study and review of the City's minimum housing code and its administration; 2) the study of the City's general plan for the coordination and effective operation of the various social agencies in dealing with people in redevelopment areas; 3) assisting in the revitalization of the CBD: and 4) the development of a Fire Prevention Code. During 1965, the Mayor created the following three new subcommittees: Subcommittee on Housing Code Review. Subcommittee on the Central Business District, Subcommittee on General Plans. Attached as an exhibit is a proposed schedule to effectuate the above-mentioned CAC responsibilities (See Exhibit VII: SS).

F. (continued)

Subcommittee on Code Review: Robert C. Laurelli, Chairman: Vincent DiMais; Dr. Joseph Smith; Chief Lewis A. Marshall; Bryan Bailey; Austin C. Daley; Edward F. Malloy, Esq.; Richard LaFlamme; Dr. James Adams; Henry J. Annotti.

H. 1. **List any changes in the membership of the subcommittee of the citizens advisory committee or special committee on minority group housing since the last submission and, for any new members, show their business, professional, civic and other affiliations. Identify those new members who represent the principal minority groups and organizations. (If not shown in previous submissions, list all members and their affiliations).**

Since the last submission, there have been four additions to the Subcommittee on Minority Group Housing:

Joseph M. Finkle, former member, R. I. Commission Against Discrimination
George Castro, Progress for Providence, Inc.
Mrs. William Mabray, East Side Neighborhood Council and Lower Pleasant Street Improvement Association
Mrs. Alice Roberts, State employee, active in Holy Rosary Church and neighborhood activities

2. **How will recommendations of the subcommittee or special committee on minority group housing be communicated to and acted upon by the citizens advisory committee?**

The chairman of each subcommittee is a member of the Executive Committee of the Mayor's Citizens Advisory Committee on Urban Renewal and each committee is staffed by the same individual. Each report and recommendation of a subcommittee is presented to the Executive Committee by the chairman and is acted upon by the Executive Committee which reports directly to the Mayor.

I. **Describe briefly citizen participation programs carried out or planned for neighborhoods or areas to be directly affected by clearance, systematic code compliance, conservation, etc.**

The Mount Hope Neighborhood Advisory Council has been active with respect to initial planning of the Mt. Hope area. The council has held a series of office conferences to acquaint groups of neighborhood residents with the preliminary planning work of the City Plan Commission and Redevelopment Agency and to present suggestions and proposals for community improvements and facilities originating within the neighborhoods for consideration by planning staffs.

In the Central-Classical Project, which is in the execution stage, there is a continuing communication with the groups which actively participated in the early stages of the project, notably, the John Hope Settlement House, the West Side Neighborhood Council, the Urban League, N.A.A.C.P and the Roman Catholic Interracial Council.

The East Side Project Citizens Committee played a major role during the planning stage of the East Side Renewal Project and in the planning proposals set forth in the proposed Redevelopment Plan transmitted to URA on October 18, 1965. Meetings with the numerous neighborhood associations and others represented on the East Side Project Citizens Committee continued during 1965 (See Exhibits VII: WW, XX, YY, AAA).

In January, 1966, a new citizens group in the East Side Project was formed. The Providence Wholesale Meat and Provision Dealers whose properties are proposed for acquisition and clearance formed a non-profit association to deal with the Agency in securing a relocation site within the project area (See Exhibit VII: 22).

(41)

J. Summarize significant happenings that have taken place since the last submission in the extent of citizen support and participation in the community improvement effort including significant activities of business, professional and civic groups and of the press, radio and television. Indicate new goals for the coming year.

All mass communications media continued to extend consistent and frequent news coverage of all matters concerned with the Providence urban renewal program. Virtually every noteworthy renewal activity received community-wide publication, both through regular news articles and broadcasts and through special features carried by the media. The Weybosset Hill project, for example, was featured on four special radio and television broadcasts during the past year. Also, radio and television stations broadcasted special announcements promoting renewal, publicizing activities like groundbreakings and soliciting requests from local organizations that might be interested in viewing the Redevelopment Agency's slide presentation of the Providence renewal story. Agency representatives addressed 25 local organizations during the past year.

One major goal for 1965 was satisfactorily met during the past year. An urban renewal unit, prepared by the Redevelopment Agency with assistance from other local renewal agencies, was fully used within the Social Studies Curriculum of all the city's junior high schools and proved successful. Through this educational unit, many Providence youngsters obtained important information about their changing city.

Another goal was fulfilled during 1965 when the city's enlarged Citizens Advisory Committee on Urban Renewal participated with local renewal officials in a seminar that featured detailed and lively discussion of the Providence urban renewal program.

The Downtown Business Coordinating Council, a component of the Greater Providence Chamber of Commerce, reserved a display case on the city's new Westminster Pedestrian Mall for the continued exhibition of graphic materials describing renewal plans and activities. This "urban renewal bulletin board" helps thousands of downtown shoppers and workers to keep informed about renewal progress.

Among goals for the coming year are the following:

1) Continued use of the urban renewal unit in the city's junior high schools:
2) Special programs on radio and television for the purpose of emphasizing the tremendous significance of renewal construction scheduled to begin during 1966; and
3) Increasingly broad communication programs that will further stimulate support of the renewal program by local organizations, especially those within proposed rehabilitation project areas.

Supplementary Material Required. Submit one copy of the work program of the citizens advisory committee and of committee reports and recommendations made to the chief excutive of the community.

(42)

Checklist of supplementary material submitted with this Review of Progress. (Check each item submitted. Refer to heading "Supplementary Material Required" under each section of this form for information as to what supplementary material is needed. Material furnished with a previous submission should not be resubmitted.)

Section 1. Codes and Ordinances

Adopting ordinance for Model code and each amendment thereto adopted since the last submission:

☐ Building ☐ Electrical ☐ Plumbing ☐ Housing
☐ Other Codes (specify)

Copy of each code, when not a Model code, and evidence of adoption and amendments thereto adopted since the last submission:

☐ Building ☐ Electrical ☐ Plumbing ☐ Housing
☒ Other codes (specify) **Special Ordinance re: Signs on Pedestrial Mall**

Section 2. Comprehensive Community Plan

Copy of each existing plan element and revisions thereto placed in effect since the last submission.

☐ Land Use
☐ Major Thoroughfare
☐ Other plans (specify)
☐ Copy of zoning ordinance and amendments
☐ Copy of official zoning map if not previously submitted or if revised since the last submission
☐ Copy of subdivision regulations
☐ Community Facilities
☐ Public Improvements
☐ Adopting ordinance and amendments thereto, adopted since the last submission
☐ Adopting ordinance and amendments adopted since last submission

Copy of evidence of official recognition of the following plans:

☐ Land Use
☐ Major Thoroughfare
☒ Other plans (specify) **School, Recreation & Circulation Master Plans - See Minutes Exhibits II: AAA and BBB**
☐ Community Facilities
☐ Public Improvements
☐ Copy of each plan report indicating the progress of planning in the community prepared since the last submission

Section 3. Neighborhood Analyses[1]

☐ Data on total blight problem of the community available since the last submission
☐ Map showing neighborhoods for planning purposes if not previously submitted or if revised since the last submission
☐ Copy of report or plan prepared since last submission on communitywide programming of community improvement activities

Section 4. Administrative Organization. No supplementary material required.

Section 5. Financing

☒ Copy of a summary of latest annual budget
☒ Copy of available capital improvements budget or similar program prepared since last submission

Section 6. Housing for Displaced Families

☒ Copy of available reports or plans, not previously submitted, relating to housing needs and resources and to the relocation of displaced families

Section 7. Citizen Participation

☒ Copy of work program of the citizens advisory committee for coming year
☒ Copy of citizens advisory committee reports made during the past year
☐ Copy of citizens advisory committee recommendations made during the past year

[1] **See Community Renewal Program and appendices forwarded December 22, 1964.**

FPI ERO—4-9-64-12M-113

43

APPENDIX

List of Exhibits

Section I: Codes and Ordinances

EE: Copy of data sheet indicating areas of Housing Code inspections, reinspections, and planned inspections and reinspections.

FF: Copy of the Annual Report of the Division of Minimum Housing Standards

GG: Copies of Neighborhood Improvement Reports for Census Tracts 2, 9, 34, and 35.

HH: Annual Report of the Activities of the Housing Board of Review for the calender year 1965.

II: Copy of recommended amendments to the structural portion of the Building Code submitted by the Electrical Subcommittee of the Building Code Revision Board.

JJ: Copy of recommended amendments to the plumbing portion of the Building Code submitted by the Plumbing Subcommittee of the Building Code Revision Board.

KK: Copy of recommended amendments to the mechanical portion of the Building Code submitted by the Mechanical Subcommittee of the Building Code Revision Board.

LL: Annual Report of the Department of Building Inspection

Section II: Comprehensive Community Plan

WW: Copy of Minutes of the City Plan Commission approving filing of application for Urban Design Study grant, February 25, 1965.

XX: Copy of Minutes of the City Plan Commission meeting authorizing preparation of a 701 application, April 26, 1965.

YY: Copy of Minutes of the City Plan Commission meeting approving additional funds to reprint College Hill Report, July 8, 1965.

ZZ: Copy of Minutes of City Plan Commission meeting amending Downtown Master Plan, September 23, 1965.

AAA: Copy of Minutes of City Plan Commission meeting adopting School and Recreation Master Plans, October 28, 1965.

BBB: Copy of Minutes of City Plan Commission meeting adopting Circulation Master Plan and certifying the GNRP is in conformance with the Master Plan, Nov. 12, 1965.

[redacted]

44

CCC: Copy of City Ordinance No. 709 prohibiting Projecting Signs on Westminster Pedestrian Mall, November 22, 1965.

DDD: Copy of City Ordinance No. 708 approving undertaking of GNRP, November 22, 1965.

EEE: Copy of City Ordinance No. 735 approving GNRP for Federal Hill--South Providence area, December 6, 1965.

FFF: Copy of City Council Resolution No. 370 authorizing undertaking of 701 project, May 21, 1965.

GGG: Copy of City Council Resolution No. 686 authorizing the making of application for a Code Enforcement Grant, November 9, 1965.

HHH: Copy of City Council Resolution No. 736 authorizing filing of Survey and Planning Application for West Broadway Project, December 6, 1965.

III: Copy of City Council Resolution No. 743 authorizing filing for additional funds for the continuation of the 701 Zoning Study, December 8, 1965.

JJJ: Copy of City Council Resolution No. 67 authorizing filing of a Demolition Grant, January 22, 1966.

KKK: Copy of Community Shelter Plan, March, 1965.

LLL: Copy of Post -Censal Population Estimation Techniques, March 5, 1965.

MMM: Copy of the Annual Report of the Providence Redevelopment Agency.

NNN: Annual Report of the activities of the Zoning Board of Review and the Zoning Office for the calender year 1965.

Section III: Neighborhood Analysis

W: Copies of Community Renewal Program and appendices (six completed sets sent to HHFA, New York Regional Office, 12/22/64).

Section IV: Financing

HH: Copy of a Financial Report to the City of Providence for the Fiscal Year ended September 30, 1964.

II: Copy of 1965-1970 Capital Improvement Program.

JJ: Copy of Minutes of a City Plan Commission meeting approving the Capital Improvement Program, December 9, 1965.

[redacted]

45

[redacted]

Section VI: Housing for Displaced Families

F: Detailed Study of Total Listings Processed by the Providence Family and Business Relocation Service according to areas in the City of Providence through Fiscal Years October 1, 1962-September 30, 1965.

Section VII: Citizen Participation

SS: Copy of Work Program of the CAC for coming year.

TT: Copy of Agenda at Urban Renewal Seminar held November 10, 1965.

UU: Excerpts from No. 6, 1965 Journal of Housing containing story on school curriculum on Renewal.

VV: Tape recording of February 15, 1966 meeting of Subcommittee on Minority Group Housing Problems.

WW: Copy of Results of Surveys Conducted by the Fox Point Neighborhood Association in September and October of 1964.

XX: Copy of a Report of the Recreation Committee of the Fox Point Neighborhood Association to the Executive Committee, January 7, 1965.

YY: Copy of a Report of the Commercial and Industrial Uses Committee of the Fox Point Neighborhood Association to the Executive Committee, January 7, 1965.

ZZ: Copy of a letter to the Redevelopment Agency from the Providence Wholesale Meat and Provision Dealers Association indicating the formation of that group, its goals and its membership.

AAA: Copies of East Side Citizens Committee Notices of Meeting, July 30 and June 3, 1965, and copy of recommendations sent Redevelopment Agency.

BBB: Copy of Articles of Association of the proposed HOMES, Inc.

CCC: Copy of By-Laws of HOMES, Inc.

DDD: Copy of Report to the Chairman of the CAC Executive Committee from the Chairman of the CAC Subcommittee on Financing reporting on HOMES, Inc.

EEE: List of Commissioners of the Providence Human Relations Commission.

46

[redacted]

FFF: Providence Human Relations Commission Report on Codding Court Hearings.

GGG: Copies of Human Relations Commission Bi-Monthly Recapitulation of Activities.

47